



Please type (+) inside this box → ☐

PTO/SB/21 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paper Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM <i>(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)</i>	Application Number	09/579,407	
	Filing Date	05/25/2000	
	First Named Inventor	David L. Bates et al	
	Group Art Unit	2761	
	Examiner Name	C. L. Gilligan	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		Attorney Docket Number	289.001

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment / Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Assignment Papers (for an Application) <input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Group <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Return Postcard Request for Reconsideration – 6 pages
Remarks		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm or Individual name	Peter C. Stomma Boyle, Fredrickson, Newholm, Stein & Gratz S.C. 250 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1030 Milwaukee, WI 53202
Signature	
Date	3/10/05

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop - Box Amendment- No Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this date:			
			3-10-05
Type or printed name	Christine Kierzek		
Signature		Date	3-10-05

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.



2761
JFW

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of:)	<u>CERTIFICATE OF MAILING</u>
)	I hereby certify that this correspondence is
DAVID L. BATES ET AL.)	being deposited with the United States
)	Postal Service as first class mail in an
Serial No.: 09/579,407)	envelope addressed to: Mail Stop -Box
)	Amendment, Commissioner for Patents,
Filed: May 25, 2000)	P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-
)	1450 on March 10, 2005.
Examiner: C. L. Gilligan)	
)	
Art Unit: 2761)	<u>Christine Kierzek</u>
)	
METHOD FOR GENERATING AN)	<u>Christine Kierzek</u> <u>3-10-05</u>
INSURANCE QUOTE)	Signature Date

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Sir:

Responsive to the Office Action dated December 10, 2004, applicant respectfully requests reconsideration in view of the following comments.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 3-9, 11, 13-18, 20 and 22-23 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitcham, U.S. Patent No. 5,537,315 in view of Jones et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,239,462. In addition, the Examiner has rejected claims 2, 12 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Mitcham '315 patent in view of the Jones et al. '462 patent and further in view of Danilunas et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,263,320. Finally, the Examiner has rejected claims 10, 19 and 24 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Mitcham '315 patent in view of the Jones et al. '462 patent and further in view of Dugas. As hereinafter described, it is believed that the Examiner has failed to appreciate the significance of certain limitations contained in the claims, namely, the specific process of tying the insurance quote to data obtained from a lender's database without further input by an applicant. As such, applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the above rejections in view of the following comments.